Peer-review Form

Author’s Name: Elijah Shadbolt Reviewer’s Name: Samuel Fortune

Review the proposal according to the following CRITERIA and also list your reasons for each question.

**Briefly summarize the proposal in your own words**

|  |
| --- |
| The researcher(s) intend to provide an alternative method of handling element identifiers, by developing a small language (Une) to be compiled into C++. |

1. List out all the typos.

|  |
| --- |
| No typos found. |

1. Does the title appropriately convey the gist of the proposal? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| Yes, the title is very concise and specifies the content that will be discussed in the research. |

1. Does the abstract summarize well the research proposal? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| Yes, the abstract gives an effective summary of the context for the research, identifies the problem, and describes briefly the solution. |

1. Is the research problem clearly identified? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| Yes, the purpose of the research is well defined. |

1. Is the relevant work discussed? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| There is no discussion of the current literature of the topic, but there is heavy discussion of the surrounding context for the body of work that the research prototype (Une) will be relevant to. (Discussion of other languages, C, Python, C++. |

1. Is a well thought research methodology present? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| The methodology or developing the prototype is clearly defined, and as the research is centred on the prototype, the methodology for research is clearly defined. |

1. Is ethics implication(s) discussed? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| There is no mention of ethics, which is acceptable considering the lack of ethical relevance of the research project. |

1. Are all the required resources mentioned? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| One Software tool is mentioned, which is supposedly all that is necessary. |

1. Is the research achievable in the given time frame? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| Elijah has provided in the proposal a timeline for the prototype. Otherwise I am not confident to assert how achievable his prototype is given the timeframe. |

1. Are reliable and relevant resources listed out in the References? Discuss.

|  |
| --- |
| References are somewhat lacking, those that are listed seem relevant and reliable. To be noted; one reference is a paper written by Elijah. |

**Recommendations**

|  |
| --- |
| I am not confident to give recommendations on this topic; generally however, as a research proposal I expected to see more discussion of literature and a discussion of the methodology for research rather than method for developing the prototype. More references would be good. |

# Rating Form

Author’s Name: Elijah Shadbolt Reviewer’s Name: Samuel Fortune

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | ~~5~~ |

Reviewer’s confidence:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lowest Highest | | | | | |
| Clarity of the problem | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |
| Originality of the problem | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |
| Rationale of methods | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |
| Writing style | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |
| Feasibility of the project | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |
| Relevance to Game Development | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | **4** | ~~5~~ |
| Overall rating | ~~1~~ | ~~2~~ | ~~3~~ | ~~4~~ | **5** |